| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| | 10/658,274 | HSU, CHIH-KAO |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Theresa T. Snider | 1744 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: _ | |
| (1) <u>Theresa T. Snider</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Justin J. Cassell</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2006</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | |
| Part I. | • | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | · |
| Claims discussed: 1-24 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | · | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner informed Mr. Cassell of allowability of claims 1-24; Mr. Examiner's Amendment, to change periods to commas and to in | Cassell agreed to claim change. | |
| Part III. | | · ' |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a wri | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| /F | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | Signature – if appropriate) |